

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS FO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.tepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/528,699	11/21/2005	Philippe Ilekti	05725.1415	7150
22852 FINNEGAN 1	7590 10/10/200 HENDERSON, FARAE	EXAM	EXAMINER	
LLP 901 NEW YORK AVENUE, NW WASHINGTON, DC 20001-4413			ORWIG, KEVIN S	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			4161	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/10/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO./ CONTROL NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR / PATENT IN REEXAMINATION	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
10528699	11/21/2005	ILEKTI ET AL.	05725.1415

FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, GARRETT & DUNNER LLP 901 NEW YORK AVENUE. NW

WASHINGTON, DC 20001-4413

EXAMINER	

DATE MAILED:

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner for Patents

The reply filed on Jun. 2, 2008 is not fully responsive to the prior Office Action because of the following omission(s) or matter(s): The restriction requirement dated May 1, 2008 specified that "The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added" (page 3, next to last paragraph). However, applicant has not identified a claim set readable on the elected species. Applicants merely state in which claim(s) the elected species (i.e. methyl methacrylate, methyl acrylate, aerylic acid, and butyl acetaley are rectited. However all claims readable on these species are not specified. This trainance, since claims 90 and 91 depend from claims 89, 88, 44, and 78, these claims also necessarily read on the elected species. A similar situation exists for claims 93, 94, 132, and 148.

Thus, the claim set upon which examination should properly proceed was unclear. Applicants are required to provide an accurate listing of the claims readable upon the elected species which will be examined further on the merits of the claims. Such clarification will facilitate future examination by ensuring that examination commences on the proper claim set.

See 37 CFR 1.111. Since the above-mentioned reply appears to be bona fide, applicant is given ONE (1) MONTH or THIRTY (30) DAYS from the mailing date of this notice, whichever is longer, within which to supply the omission or correction in order to avoid abandonment. EXTENSIONS OF THIS TIME PERIOD MAY BE GRANTED UNDER 37 CFR 1.36(a),

KSO

/Ashwin Mehta/ Primary Examiner, Technology Center 1600 /Kevin S. Orwig/ Examiner, Art Unit 4161

PTO-90C (Rev.04-03)